AIC
Experience The Difference

Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL) Policy

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

Status: Approved by AIC Senior Management Committee

Responsible for implementation: AIC Academic Team

Responsible for review: Director - Academics and Quality Assurance

Current version review date: August 2025

Version Control

History of amendments	Version/ pages/ section affected	Summary of changes
Last amended September 2020	Initial policy framework	Basic RPL principles established
Current revision August 2025	Complete document restructure - Version 2.0	Comprehensive update incorporating digital credentials, micro-credentials, competency-based frameworks, industry partnerships, and contemporary best practices from leading institutions

The individuals or group responsible for this document have the authority to make changes to it whenever necessary. In the event of any amendments, all relevant personnel will be duly notified.

The document can be found on the AIC website at the following link:
https://www.aicedu.lk/academic-progression.php

Purpose

The primary purpose of this RPL policy is to provide a systematic, fair, and transparent framework for recognizing and crediting prior learning that aligns with institutional learning outcomes and national qualification standards. The policy aims to remove unnecessary barriers to education while maintaining academic integrity and ensuring that all students receive appropriate recognition for their existing knowledge and competencies.

AIC is committed to pursuing high standards in providing special consideration and reasonable adjustment with appropriate allocation of resources in order to implement this Policy fully, to the best of our abilities. This Policy Statement is to be reviewed according to necessity, as well as periodically every two years.

1. Introduction and Policy Framework

1.1 Policy Statement

Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL) at AIC Campus represents a transformative approach to education that acknowledges and validates the diverse pathways through which individuals acquire knowledge, skills, and competencies. This comprehensive policy establishes a robust framework for recognizing, assessing, and crediting learning achieved through formal, non-formal, and informal experiences, thereby promoting educational equity, lifelong learning, and professional development.

RPL serves as a bridge between experiential learning and formal education, enabling students to build upon their existing knowledge and accelerate their academic progression while maintaining rigorous academic standards. This policy reflects AIC Campus's commitment to inclusive education, recognizing that valuable learning occurs in multiple contexts including workplace experience, professional development, community engagement, military service, volunteer activities, and self-directed study.

1.2 Purpose and Objectives

The primary purpose of this RPL policy is to provide a systematic, fair, and transparent framework for recognizing and crediting prior learning that aligns with institutional learning outcomes and national qualification standards. The policy aims to remove unnecessary barriers to education while maintaining academic integrity and ensuring that all students receive appropriate recognition for their existing knowledge and competencies.

Strategic Objectives include promoting lifelong learning by recognizing learning achieved throughout individuals' personal and professional lives, enhancing educational accessibility by providing alternative pathways to qualification completion, supporting workforce development through recognition of industry experience and professional development, reducing time and cost barriers to education by enabling students to focus on new learning rather than repeating existing knowledge, and fostering educational equity by recognizing diverse learning experiences and cultural knowledge systems.

The policy also aims to strengthen industry partnerships by recognizing workplace learning and professional certifications, facilitate student mobility and progression through credit transfer arrangements, enhance graduate employability by validating practical experience and professional competencies, and support institutional sustainability by attracting experienced professionals and mature learners to academic programs.

1.3 Scope and Application

This policy applies comprehensively to all academic programs offered by AIC Campus including undergraduate qualifications at foundation, diploma, higher diploma, and degree levels, postgraduate programs including master's degrees and professional development courses, short courses and continuing education programs, and micro-credentials and digital badge programs.

The policy encompasses all types of prior learning including **Formal Learning** achieved through structured, assessed programs leading to recognized qualifications from accredited institutions, **Non-formal Learning** gained through structured learning activities that do not lead to formal qualifications such as professional development courses, industry training programs, and workplace learning initiatives, and **Informal Learning** acquired through experience in work, community, family, or personal contexts including self-directed learning, volunteer activities, and life experiences.

1.4 Alignment with External Standards

AIC Campus RPL practices are meticulously aligned with national qualification frameworks, international standards, and best practices from leading educational institutions worldwide. The policy ensures compliance with relevant regulatory requirements while incorporating contemporary approaches to competency-based assessment and digital credentialing.

The framework supports articulation with other educational institutions, recognition of international qualifications and experience, integration with professional body requirements and industry standards, and alignment with government initiatives for skills recognition and workforce development.

2. Definitions and Key Concepts

2.1 Core Definitions

Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL) refers to the systematic identification, assessment, and formal acknowledgment of knowledge, skills, and competencies acquired through formal, non-formal, and informal learning experiences. RPL enables individuals to receive academic credit, advanced standing, or exemption from specific program requirements based on demonstrated achievement of relevant learning outcomes.

Competency-Based Assessment focuses on what individuals know and can do rather than where or how they learned it, emphasizing the demonstration of specific knowledge, skills, and abilities against established standards and learning outcomes. This approach enables flexible and personalized pathways to qualification while maintaining rigorous academic standards.

Digital Credentials and Micro-Credentials represent verifiable, portable digital representations of learning achievements that can be stackable components of larger qualifications or standalone recognition of specific competencies. These credentials enhance transparency, portability, and employer recognition of learning achievements.

2.2 Types of Prior Learning

Formal Learning encompasses structured educational experiences delivered by recognized institutions and leading to formal qualifications such as certificates, diplomas, degrees, or professional certifications. Formal learning is typically characterized by defined curricula, systematic assessment, and institutional quality assurance processes.

Examples include previous academic qualifications from accredited institutions, professional certifications from recognized industry bodies, vocational training certificates from approved providers, military training and education programs, and international qualifications that meet established equivalency standards.

Non-formal Learning includes organized learning activities that are structured and intentional but do not lead to formal qualifications. This category encompasses a wide range of professional development and skill-building activities that enhance individual capabilities and workplace performance.

Examples include corporate training programs and workshops, professional development seminars and conferences, industry-specific training courses, online learning programs and MOOCs with completion certificates, professional association workshops and certification programs, and mentoring and coaching programs with documented outcomes.

Informal Learning represents knowledge and skills acquired through everyday experiences, work activities, community involvement, and personal interests. While less structured than formal and non-formal learning, informal learning can result in significant competency development that merits academic recognition.

Examples include work experience and on-the-job learning, volunteer activities and community service, self-directed learning and research projects, cultural and traditional

knowledge, parenting and family responsibilities, travel and cross-cultural experiences, and hobbies and personal interests that develop relevant skills.

2.3 Assessment Principles

Authenticity ensures that evidence presented genuinely represents the individual's own learning achievements and is not obtained through fraudulent means. Verification processes confirm the legitimacy of claimed learning experiences and achievements.

Currency refers to the recency and current relevance of prior learning, recognizing that knowledge and skills may become outdated over time, particularly in rapidly evolving fields. Assessment considers whether prior learning remains applicable to current program requirements and industry standards.

Relevance establishes clear connections between prior learning and specific program learning outcomes, ensuring that RPL recognition contributes meaningfully to the individual's academic and professional development goals.

Sufficiency determines whether the scope and depth of prior learning adequately covers the knowledge and skills required for academic credit or exemption, ensuring that RPL recipients are properly prepared for subsequent learning and assessment.

---

3. Competency-Based Assessment Framework

3.1 Learning Outcomes Alignment

The competency-based assessment framework serves as the foundation for all RPL evaluation processes, ensuring that prior learning is systematically matched against clearly defined learning outcomes and performance standards. This framework emphasizes what individuals can demonstrate they know and can do rather than focusing solely on where or how learning occurred.

Learning Outcome Mapping involves detailed analysis of prior learning experiences against specific program learning outcomes, with clear documentation of how claimed competencies align with academic requirements. This process includes evaluation of knowledge depth and breadth, skills application and transfer, and understanding of theoretical concepts and practical applications.

The mapping process considers both explicit learning outcomes that can be directly demonstrated and implicit competencies that may have been developed through

experience but require articulation and evidence presentation. Faculty experts work collaboratively with RPL candidates to identify connections between experiential learning and academic requirements.

Competency Standards are established through consultation with industry experts, professional bodies, and academic specialists to ensure that RPL recognition meets both educational and professional requirements. These standards are regularly reviewed and updated to maintain currency with evolving industry needs and academic expectations.

3.2 Evidence Requirements and Portfolio Development

Portfolio Construction serves as the primary method for presenting evidence of prior learning, providing a comprehensive and organized collection of documentation that demonstrates achievement of specific competencies. Portfolios combine multiple types of evidence to create a holistic picture of individual learning achievements.

Portfolio development is supported through structured guidance including portfolio development workshops, individual consultation with RPL advisors, access to portfolio templates and exemplars, and ongoing feedback during the development process. Students receive comprehensive support to ensure they can effectively articulate and document their learning achievements.

Evidence Types encompass a diverse range of documentation that can demonstrate learning achievement including certified copies of qualifications and certificates, detailed work history and position descriptions, supervisor and colleague testimonials, work samples and project documentation, reflective statements and learning analyses, professional development records and continuing education certificates, and performance appraisals and achievement records.

Digital evidence is increasingly important and includes screenshots of online learning completions, social media portfolios demonstrating professional engagement, video demonstrations of practical skills, and digital badges from recognized providers. All digital evidence must be verifiable and authentic.

3.3 Assessment Methods and Approaches

Direct Assessment involves systematic evaluation of knowledge and skills through various methods including practical demonstrations where candidates show their ability to perform specific tasks or procedures, oral examinations that explore depth of understanding and ability to apply knowledge, written assessments that evaluate theoretical knowledge and

analytical capabilities, and project-based assessments that require integration of multiple competencies.

Assessment methods are selected based on the nature of the learning outcomes being evaluated and the most appropriate way to demonstrate achievement. Multiple assessment methods may be used to provide comprehensive evaluation of complex competencies.

Portfolio Review follows structured protocols that ensure consistent and fair evaluation of submitted evidence. Review panels typically include subject matter experts, industry representatives where appropriate, and trained RPL assessors who bring diverse perspectives to the evaluation process.

The review process includes initial screening for completeness and authenticity, detailed evaluation against established criteria, collaborative discussion among panel members to ensure consistency, and provision of detailed feedback to candidates regardless of outcome.

Recognition Levels reflect different degrees of prior learning achievement including **Full Recognition** where prior learning completely satisfies specific module or course requirements, enabling exemption from those components, **Partial Recognition** where prior learning covers some but not all required competencies, resulting in reduced academic requirements or modified assessment arrangements, and **Advanced Standing** where prior learning enables entry to programs at an advanced level, reducing overall program duration.

3.4 Quality Assurance and Validation

Assessment Standardization ensures consistency and fairness across all RPL evaluations through regular assessor training and calibration, use of standardized assessment criteria and rubrics, moderation processes that review assessment decisions, and documentation of assessment rationales and decisions.

Standardization activities include cross-assessor reliability checks, regular review of assessment outcomes and grade distributions, feedback collection from successful and unsuccessful candidates, and continuous improvement based on assessment data and stakeholder feedback.

External Validation involves engagement with external experts and stakeholders to ensure RPL standards remain current and appropriate including industry advisory committees that provide input on competency requirements, professional body liaison to ensure alignment with industry standards, external examiner review of RPL processes and outcomes, and benchmarking against other institutions and international best practices.

Continuous Improvement processes use systematic data collection and analysis to enhance RPL effectiveness including tracking of RPL candidate outcomes and success rates, analysis of employer feedback on RPL graduates, regular review of assessment methods and criteria, and incorporation of technological innovations and best practices.

---

4. Digital Credentials and Micro-Credentials Integration

4.1 Digital Credentialing Framework

AIC Campus embraces digital credentialing technologies to enhance the recognition, portability, and verification of prior learning achievements. Digital credentials provide secure, verifiable, and instantly accessible records of learning accomplishments that can be easily shared with employers, professional bodies, and other educational institutions.

Open Badges Standards are implemented to ensure maximum compatibility and recognition of digital credentials. Open Badges provide standardized metadata that includes detailed information about the issuing institution, achievement criteria, evidence requirements, and verification procedures. This standardization enables seamless integration with professional portfolios, social media profiles, and employment applications.

Digital badges issued for RPL recognition contain comprehensive information about the learning outcomes achieved, assessment methods used, and institutional quality assurance processes. This transparency enables employers and other stakeholders to understand exactly what competencies have been validated through the RPL process.

Blockchain Integration provides enhanced security and verification capabilities for digital credentials, creating immutable records of achievement that cannot be fraudulently altered or duplicated. Blockchain technology enables instant verification of credentials while maintaining student privacy and institutional security.

4.2 Micro-Credentials and Stackable Qualifications

Micro-Credential Development enables recognition of specific competencies and skill sets that may not align with traditional module structures. Micro-credentials provide flexible pathways for individuals to gain recognition for targeted learning achievements and build toward larger qualifications over time.

Micro-credentials developed through RPL processes focus on industry-relevant competencies, emerging skill areas, cross-disciplinary capabilities, and specialized

professional knowledge. These credentials are designed in consultation with industry partners to ensure relevance and value in employment contexts.

Stackable Pathways allow individuals to combine multiple micro-credentials and RPL recognitions to build toward comprehensive qualifications. This approach provides maximum flexibility for learners while maintaining academic coherence and progression standards.

Stackable pathways are mapped to show clear progression routes including prerequisite relationships between different micro-credentials, cumulative competency development across credential sequences, integration with formal qualification requirements, and transfer possibilities to other institutions and programs.

4.3 Technology-Enhanced Assessment

Digital Portfolio Platforms provide user-friendly interfaces for RPL candidates to develop, organize, and submit their evidence portfolios. These platforms include features such as document upload and organization tools, reflection and self-assessment prompts, progress tracking and milestone notifications, and direct communication with RPL advisors and assessors.

Platform security ensures that personal information and sensitive documents are protected throughout the RPL process while enabling efficient sharing and collaboration between candidates and assessment teams.

AI-Assisted Evaluation tools support assessors in reviewing large volumes of portfolio evidence while maintaining human oversight and final decision-making authority. AI systems can assist with initial document analysis, competency mapping suggestions, and identification of potential evidence gaps or strengths.

Human assessors retain full responsibility for final RPL decisions while benefiting from technology support that enhances efficiency and consistency in the evaluation process.

4.4 Industry Partnership Integration

Professional Certification Recognition establishes formal agreements with industry bodies and professional organizations to recognize their certifications and training programs within RPL processes. These partnerships streamline assessment procedures while ensuring quality and relevance of recognized learning.

Partnership agreements specify recognition criteria, assessment requirements, and quality assurance procedures that maintain institutional standards while acknowledging industry expertise and training quality.

Workplace Learning Validation provides mechanisms for recognizing and validating learning that occurs in employment contexts including structured workplace training programs, mentoring and coaching relationships, project-based learning experiences, and professional development activities.

Validation processes include employer confirmation of learning experiences, demonstration of competency application in work contexts, reflection on learning outcomes and skill development, and alignment with academic learning objectives and professional standards.

---

5. Application and Assessment Procedures

5.1 Pre-Application Guidance and Support

Information Sessions and Workshops provide prospective RPL candidates with comprehensive understanding of the RPL process, requirements, and potential outcomes. These sessions cover RPL principles and benefits, assessment criteria and evidence requirements, portfolio development strategies, and realistic expectations about recognition possibilities.

Information sessions are delivered through multiple formats including face-to-face workshops, online webinars, individual consultation appointments, and peer learning groups where successful RPL candidates share their experiences and advice.

RPL Readiness Assessment helps individuals determine whether they have sufficient prior learning to warrant formal RPL application and assessment. This preliminary evaluation includes review of learning experiences and achievements, mapping against potential program requirements, identification of evidence availability and quality, and estimation of likely recognition outcomes.

Readiness assessment prevents individuals from undertaking unnecessary assessment processes while ensuring that viable candidates receive appropriate support and encouragement to pursue RPL recognition.

5.2 Application Process and Requirements

Formal Application Submission requires completion of comprehensive application forms that document learning experiences, achievement claims, and evidence availability. Applications must be submitted within specified timeframes and include all required documentation and fees.

Application requirements include **Personal Information and Academic History** documenting previous formal education, professional qualifications, and current academic goals, **Detailed Learning Experience Documentation** providing comprehensive descriptions of claimed learning experiences including dates, duration, and context, **Learning Outcome Claims** specifying which program learning outcomes the candidate believes they have achieved through prior learning, and **Evidence Inventory** listing all available evidence and indicating how each piece supports specific competency claims.

Application Review and Processing involves initial administrative checking for completeness and eligibility, assignment of appropriate assessors and review panels, communication of assessment timelines and procedures, and coordination of assessment activities and candidate support.

Processing timelines are clearly communicated to candidates with regular updates provided throughout the assessment process. Candidates are informed of their rights and responsibilities during assessment and have access to support services throughout the process.

5.3 Assessment Implementation

Portfolio Development Support is provided throughout the evidence compilation process including individual guidance from trained RPL advisors, access to portfolio development resources and templates, opportunities for peer learning and collaboration, and feedback on draft portfolio submissions before formal assessment.

Support is tailored to individual needs and circumstances recognizing that candidates bring diverse backgrounds, technological capabilities, and academic preparation to the RPL process.

Assessment Panel Composition ensures appropriate expertise and perspective in evaluation processes with panels typically including subject matter experts from relevant academic disciplines, industry representatives where appropriate, trained RPL assessors with evaluation expertise, and external members to provide independent perspective.

Panel composition varies based on the scope and nature of prior learning being assessed, with larger panels for comprehensive assessments and specialized expertise for technical or professional competencies.

Assessment Methods are selected based on the nature of claimed learning outcomes and may include **Portfolio Review** involving systematic evaluation of submitted evidence against established criteria, **Practical Demonstrations** requiring candidates to show their ability to perform specific tasks or procedures, **Oral Examinations** exploring depth of understanding and ability to apply knowledge in different contexts, **Written Assessments** evaluating theoretical knowledge and analytical capabilities, and **Project-Based Assessments** requiring integration of multiple competencies in authentic contexts.

5.4 Decision Making and Communication

Assessment Outcomes are determined through collaborative evaluation processes that consider all available evidence and assessment results. Possible outcomes include **Full Recognition** where candidates receive complete credit for specified learning outcomes, **Partial Recognition** where some but not all claimed competencies are validated, **Conditional Recognition** where additional evidence or assessment is required, and **Non-Recognition** where claimed learning does not meet required standards.

All decisions are supported by detailed feedback explaining the rationale for recognition levels and identifying any additional requirements or recommendations for further learning.

Communication Processes ensure that candidates receive timely, clear, and comprehensive information about assessment outcomes including written confirmation of recognition decisions, detailed feedback on portfolio and assessment performance, information about credit transfer and academic progression, and guidance about appeals processes where applicable.

Communication maintains sensitivity to individual circumstances while providing honest and constructive feedback that supports continued learning and development regardless of assessment outcomes.

6. Support Services and Student Guidance

6.1 RPL Advisory Services

Dedicated RPL Advisors provide comprehensive support throughout the RPL journey, serving as primary points of contact for candidates from initial inquiry through final outcome. RPL advisors are specially trained professionals who understand both academic requirements and the diverse backgrounds and needs of RPL candidates.

Advisory services include **Initial Consultation** to assess RPL potential and appropriateness, **Portfolio Development Guidance** providing structured support for evidence compilation and presentation, **Assessment Preparation** helping candidates understand expectations and requirements, and **Post-Assessment Support** assisting with credit transfer, academic planning, and further learning opportunities.

Advisors maintain regular contact with candidates throughout the process, providing encouragement, practical assistance, and advocacy when needed. They work collaboratively with academic staff to ensure that candidates receive appropriate support while maintaining assessment integrity.

Specialized Support for Diverse Populations recognizes that RPL candidates often come from non-traditional educational backgrounds and may face unique challenges in navigating academic processes. Specialized support addresses language and literacy needs, technological capabilities and digital literacy, cultural considerations and communication preferences, and accessibility requirements and accommodation needs.

Support services are designed to be inclusive and responsive to individual circumstances while maintaining appropriate academic standards and institutional requirements.

6.2 Learning and Development Resources

Portfolio Development Workshops provide structured learning opportunities that build candidates' capabilities in evidence collection, organization, and presentation. Workshops cover portfolio planning and organization, evidence selection and quality evaluation, reflective writing and learning analysis, and presentation techniques and professional communication.

Workshops are offered through multiple delivery modes including face-to-face sessions, online modules, hybrid formats combining online and in-person elements, and individual tutorials for candidates with specific needs or constraints.

Digital Literacy Support ensures that all candidates can effectively engage with technology-enhanced RPL processes regardless of their previous experience with digital tools. Support includes training in portfolio platform usage, digital document preparation and submission,

online communication and collaboration tools, and digital credential management and sharing.

Technical support is available throughout the RPL process with help desk services, individual tutoring, and peer support networks providing assistance when needed.

6.3 Academic Integration and Progression Support

Credit Transfer and Academic Planning services help successful RPL candidates understand how their recognition translates into academic credit and program progression. Academic advisors work with candidates to develop realistic and efficient study plans that build upon RPL achievements while ensuring comprehensive skill development.

Planning services include **Degree Audit and Credit Mapping** showing how RPL recognition applies to specific program requirements, **Course Sequencing and Prerequisites** ensuring logical progression through remaining academic requirements, **Graduation Planning** establishing realistic timelines for program completion, and **Further Study Options** exploring opportunities for continued learning and advancement.

Transition Support recognizes that RPL candidates may need additional assistance in adapting to formal academic environments, particularly if they have been away from education for extended periods. Transition support includes academic skills development, study strategies and time management, assessment preparation and examination techniques, and peer connection and networking opportunities.

6.4 Industry and Professional Connections

Industry Liaison and Networking services connect RPL candidates with relevant industry professionals, employers, and professional development opportunities. These connections enhance the value of RPL recognition while supporting career advancement and professional growth.

Liaison activities include industry mentoring programs pairing candidates with experienced professionals, professional association introductions and membership support, career development workshops and networking events, and job placement assistance and employment preparation.

Continuing Professional Development support helps candidates maintain and enhance their professional competencies through access to ongoing learning opportunities, professional certification programs, industry conference and workshop information, and lifelong learning pathway planning.

7. Quality Assurance and Standards Management

7.1 Institutional Quality Framework

Quality Standards and Criteria establish clear expectations for all aspects of RPL processes including assessor qualifications and training requirements, evidence quality and verification standards, assessment methodology and consistency measures, and outcome documentation and reporting procedures.

Quality standards are developed through consultation with academic experts, industry representatives, and professional bodies to ensure they meet both educational and professional requirements while remaining practical and achievable.

Monitoring and Evaluation Systems provide systematic oversight of RPL effectiveness and quality including tracking of candidate progression and success rates, analysis of employer satisfaction with RPL graduates, review of assessment consistency and fairness, and benchmarking against external standards and best practices.

Regular monitoring enables proactive identification of issues and continuous improvement of RPL processes and outcomes.

7.2 Assessor Training and Development

Assessor Qualification Requirements ensure that all individuals involved in RPL evaluation possess appropriate expertise including relevant academic qualifications and professional experience, training in RPL principles and assessment methodology, understanding of institutional policies and procedures, and commitment to fair and consistent evaluation practices.

Ongoing professional development maintains assessor capabilities and ensures currency with evolving best practices in RPL assessment and recognition.

Training Programs provide comprehensive preparation for RPL assessment roles including RPL principles and philosophy, competency-based assessment methodology, portfolio evaluation techniques, bias recognition and mitigation strategies, and communication skills for feedback and decision explanation.

Training combines theoretical understanding with practical application through case studies, role-playing exercises, and supervised assessment experiences.

7.3 External Validation and Benchmarking

External Review Processes provide independent evaluation of RPL quality and effectiveness including periodic review by external experts, benchmarking studies comparing institutional practices with sector leaders, employer surveys evaluating graduate capabilities and satisfaction, and student outcome tracking and analysis.

External validation ensures that institutional RPL practices meet professional standards and continue to evolve with best practices and stakeholder expectations.

Professional Body Engagement maintains alignment between RPL recognition and professional requirements through regular consultation with relevant professional organizations, participation in sector-wide RPL initiatives, contribution to policy development and best practice sharing, and advocacy for RPL recognition in professional contexts.

7.4 Continuous Improvement Processes

Data-Driven Enhancement uses systematic collection and analysis of RPL data to identify improvement opportunities including statistical analysis of application and success rates, qualitative evaluation of candidate and assessor feedback, longitudinal tracking of RPL graduate outcomes, and cost-benefit analysis of RPL program efficiency.

Improvement initiatives are prioritized based on evidence of impact and stakeholder value while considering resource constraints and strategic institutional priorities.

Innovation and Best Practice Integration ensures that RPL processes remain current with technological developments and educational innovations including pilot testing of new assessment methods and technologies, adoption of proven practices from other institutions, integration of research findings and expert recommendations, and responsiveness to changing industry and student needs.

---

8. Industry Partnerships and Workplace Learning

8.1 Strategic Partnership Development

Industry Collaboration Framework establishes systematic approaches to developing and maintaining partnerships with employers, professional bodies, and industry organizations. These partnerships enhance the relevance and value of RPL recognition while providing pathways for workplace learning validation and professional development integration.

Partnership development focuses on **Mutual Benefit Creation** where industry partners gain access to skilled graduates and professional development opportunities while AIC Campus benefits from industry expertise and workplace learning opportunities, **Quality Assurance Alignment** ensuring that industry training and development programs meet academic standards and learning outcome requirements, **Curriculum Relevance** maintaining currency with industry practices and emerging skill requirements, and **Graduate Employability** enhancing job market outcomes for students with RPL recognition.

Partnership Agreements formalize collaboration arrangements including recognition of industry training programs and certifications, workplace learning validation procedures, shared quality assurance and monitoring responsibilities, and professional development opportunities for academic staff and industry personnel.

8.2 Workplace Learning Recognition

Structured Workplace Learning Programs provide formal mechanisms for recognizing learning that occurs in employment contexts. These programs establish clear learning outcomes, assessment criteria, and validation procedures that ensure workplace experiences contribute meaningfully to academic qualifications.

Recognition processes include **Learning Agreement Development** specifying intended learning outcomes and assessment methods, **Workplace Supervisor Training** ensuring that workplace mentors understand academic requirements and assessment standards, **Learning Documentation** requiring systematic recording of learning experiences and achievement evidence, and **Academic Validation** confirming that workplace learning meets institutional standards and program requirements.

Professional Certification Integration streamlines recognition of relevant professional certifications and industry training programs through pre-approved pathways that reduce assessment burden while maintaining quality standards. Integration includes mapping of professional certifications to academic learning outcomes, streamlined assessment procedures for recognized programs, regular review of industry certification quality and relevance, and collaborative development of new recognition pathways.

8.3 Employer Engagement and Validation

Employer Testimonial and Verification Processes provide systematic approaches for validating workplace learning claims through structured employer input including standardized reference forms that capture specific competency information, supervisor interviews to verify learning claims and assess competency development, workplace visit

programs where appropriate for direct observation of competencies, and employer participation in assessment panels for workplace learning evaluation.

Employer engagement ensures that RPL recognition reflects genuine workplace competency while providing employers with confidence in graduate capabilities and institutional quality.

Industry Advisory Committees provide ongoing strategic guidance for RPL development including review of competency standards and assessment criteria, advice on emerging industry skill requirements, feedback on graduate preparation and performance, and recommendations for program enhancement and partnership development.

Advisory committees include representatives from major employers, professional bodies, and industry associations who bring diverse perspectives and current market knowledge to RPL planning and evaluation.

8.4 Professional Development Integration

Continuing Professional Development (CPD) Recognition provides pathways for recognizing ongoing professional learning and development activities including professional conference attendance, industry workshop participation, certification maintenance activities, and professional association engagement.

CPD recognition supports lifelong learning and professional growth while maintaining currency with evolving industry practices and requirements.

Career Pathway Mapping helps individuals understand how RPL recognition fits within broader career development goals including identification of skill gaps and development needs, planning for professional advancement and career transition, integration with professional certification and accreditation requirements, and alignment with industry career progression pathways.

Career pathway support enhances the value and relevance of RPL recognition while supporting individual professional growth and industry workforce development needs.

9. Appeals, Complaints, and Student Rights

9.1 Student Rights Framework

Fundamental Rights in RPL Processes ensure that all candidates receive fair, transparent, and respectful treatment throughout their RPL journey. These rights are protected through institutional policies, procedures, and oversight mechanisms that provide recourse when issues arise.

Right to Fair Assessment guarantees that all RPL candidates are evaluated according to consistent standards and procedures without discrimination based on personal characteristics, background, or previous educational experiences. Fair assessment includes access to clear information about assessment criteria and procedures, consistent application of standards across all candidates, appropriate consideration of individual circumstances and accessibility needs, and protection from bias or inappropriate influence in decision-making.

Right to Support and Guidance ensures that candidates receive appropriate assistance throughout the RPL process including access to qualified RPL advisors and support services, clear information about requirements and procedures, reasonable assistance with portfolio development and evidence presentation, and appropriate accommodations for disabilities or other special circumstances.

Right to Transparency requires that all aspects of the RPL process be clearly communicated and accessible to candidates including published policies and procedures, clear explanations of assessment criteria and methods, timely communication about application status and outcomes, and detailed feedback about assessment decisions and recommendations.

9.2 Appeals Process Framework

Grounds for Appeal are clearly defined to ensure that candidates understand when appeals are appropriate and what issues can be addressed through formal appeals processes. Legitimate grounds for appeal include procedural irregularities where established RPL procedures were not followed correctly, assessment bias or discrimination where decisions appear to be influenced by inappropriate factors, inadequate consideration of evidence where relevant evidence was not properly evaluated, or administrative errors in processing or recording decisions.

Appeals must be based on factual issues or procedural concerns rather than simple disagreement with assessment judgments about the quality or sufficiency of evidence.

Appeals Procedure provides systematic and fair processes for addressing candidate concerns while protecting institutional integrity and assessment standards. The procedure includes multiple stages with increasing levels of review and independence.

Stage 1: Informal Resolution encourages candidates to raise concerns directly with RPL advisors or assessment coordinators before initiating formal appeals processes. Many concerns can be resolved through clarification, additional information, or minor procedural corrections without formal procedures.

Stage 2: Formal Review requires written appeals submitted within specified timeframes with clear articulation of grounds for appeal and supporting evidence. Formal reviews are conducted by senior academic staff who were not involved in the original assessment process.

Stage 3: Independent Panel Review provides additional review for complex cases or where Stage 2 review does not resolve candidate concerns. Independent panels include external members and senior institutional representatives with authority to make binding decisions.

Stage 4: External Review remains available for candidates who exhaust internal appeals processes and believe institutional procedures were not followed correctly. External review may involve ombudsman services or regulatory body intervention.

9.3 Complaints Management

Complaint Categories distinguish between different types of concerns requiring different resolution approaches including service quality issues related to support services or administrative processes, policy interpretation questions about RPL requirements or procedures, discrimination or harassment concerns involving inappropriate treatment by staff or other students, and systemic issues affecting multiple candidates or institutional processes.

Resolution Procedures provide appropriate mechanisms for addressing different types of complaints while ensuring fair treatment for all parties involved. Procedures emphasize early resolution and informal problem-solving while providing formal options when necessary.

Complaint management includes confidential reporting options, protection from retaliation for legitimate complaints, regular monitoring of complaint patterns and themes, and systematic improvement based on complaint analysis and feedback.

9.4 Remedies and Corrective Actions

Individual Remedies address specific harm or disadvantage experienced by candidates including reassessment opportunities where procedural errors affected outcomes, additional recognition where evidence was inappropriately discounted, fee refunds where

service quality was inadequate, and academic record corrections where administrative errors occurred.

Remedies are designed to restore candidates to the position they would have occupied if issues had not occurred while maintaining institutional standards and other students' interests.

Systemic Improvements address broader issues identified through appeals and complaints including policy clarification or revision where confusion or inconsistency exists, staff training and development to address skill or knowledge gaps, procedure modification to prevent recurring problems, and resource allocation to improve service quality and effectiveness.

Systemic improvements demonstrate institutional commitment to continuous improvement and responsiveness to stakeholder needs and concerns.

10. Technology and Digital Innovation

10.1 Digital Infrastructure and Platforms

Integrated RPL Management System provides comprehensive technology support for all aspects of the RPL process from initial inquiry through final credential issuance. The system includes candidate portal functionality for application submission and portfolio development, assessor interfaces for evaluation and feedback provision, administrative tools for process management and reporting, and credential management capabilities for digital badge issuance and verification.

System integration ensures seamless data flow between RPL processes and institutional student information systems, reducing administrative burden while maintaining data accuracy and security.

Cloud-Based Portfolio Platform enables secure, accessible, and collaborative portfolio development and submission. Platform features include document upload and organization tools with version control, multimedia support for diverse evidence types, collaboration features enabling advisor feedback and guidance, and mobile accessibility for convenient access and updates.

Security measures protect sensitive personal and professional information while enabling appropriate sharing and collaboration between candidates and assessment teams.

10.2 Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Applications

AI-Assisted Evidence Analysis supports assessors in reviewing large volumes of portfolio evidence while maintaining human oversight and decision-making authority. AI applications include document classification and organization, competency mapping suggestions based on evidence content, identification of potential evidence gaps or redundancies, and preliminary quality assessments that inform human review.

AI tools enhance efficiency and consistency in evidence review while preserving the essential human judgment required for fair and appropriate assessment decisions.

Predictive Analytics for Success Support use historical data and candidate characteristics to identify individuals who may need additional support or are at risk of unsuccessful outcomes. Analytics inform targeted intervention strategies including early identification of candidates needing additional guidance, customized support service recommendations, resource allocation optimization, and continuous improvement of support effectiveness.

Predictive analytics respect candidate privacy while enabling proactive support that enhances success rates and satisfaction.

10.3 Blockchain and Credential Security

Blockchain-Based Credential Verification provides immutable, instantly verifiable records of RPL achievements that enhance trust and recognition by employers and other institutions. Blockchain implementation includes secure credential issuance and storage, instant verification capabilities for employers and institutions, protection against fraud and credential falsification, and lifetime accessibility and portability for credential holders.

Blockchain technology enables AIC Campus to provide industry-leading credential security while maintaining user privacy and institutional efficiency.

Smart Contracts for Recognition automate certain aspects of RPL recognition where predetermined criteria can be clearly specified including automatic credit award for pre-approved qualifications, streamlined processing for standard recognition pathways, reduced administrative burden for routine assessments, and enhanced consistency in decision-making for objective criteria.

Smart contracts enhance efficiency while preserving human oversight for complex or nuanced assessment decisions.

10.4 Emerging Technology Integration

Virtual and Augmented Reality Assessment provides innovative approaches for evaluating practical competencies and workplace skills including virtual simulations for skill demonstration, immersive environments for competency assessment, remote practical examination capabilities, and enhanced accessibility for candidates with mobility or location constraints.

VR/AR technologies enable authentic assessment of practical skills while reducing costs and increasing accessibility for diverse candidate populations.

Learning Analytics and Competency Mapping provide sophisticated analysis of learning pathways and competency development including visualization of competency networks and relationships, identification of optimal learning progression sequences, personalized recommendation systems for skill development, and integration with career planning and professional development tools.

Analytics support both individual candidate success and institutional improvement by providing insights into effective learning pathways and assessment strategies.

11. Financial Framework and Fee Structure

11.1 Fee Policy and Structure

Transparent Fee Framework ensures that all RPL-related costs are clearly communicated to candidates before they commit to the assessment process. Fee structures reflect the actual costs of assessment while remaining accessible to diverse candidate populations and supporting institutional sustainability.

Application and Assessment Fees cover the direct costs of RPL evaluation including application processing and initial review, portfolio assessment and evaluator time, practical assessment and demonstration costs where required, and credential issuance and digital badge creation.

Fee structures are differentiated based on assessment complexity and resource requirements including standard assessment fees for straightforward recognition requests, enhanced fees for complex or comprehensive assessments, reduced fees for current students and alumni, and special consideration for financial hardship cases.

Additional Service Fees may apply for optional services including expedited assessment processing, additional assessor consultations, portfolio development workshop participation, and credential verification services for third parties.

All additional fees are optional and clearly distinguished from required assessment fees to ensure transparency and informed decision-making.

11.2 Financial Support and Accessibility

Fee Reduction and Waiver Programs ensure that financial constraints do not prevent deserving candidates from accessing RPL recognition including merit-based fee reductions for candidates with exceptional prior learning, need-based support for candidates facing financial hardship, employer sponsorship programs for workplace learning recognition, and government funding utilization where available.

Financial support programs are administered fairly and confidentially with clear eligibility criteria and application procedures.

Payment Options and Flexibility accommodate diverse financial circumstances including installment payment plans for larger fees, employer direct billing arrangements, scholarship and grant application assistance, and deferred payment options for successful candidates entering academic programs.

Payment flexibility enhances accessibility while ensuring institutional financial sustainability and cost recovery.

11.3 Cost-Benefit Analysis and Value Proposition

Candidate Value Analysis demonstrates the economic and professional benefits of RPL recognition including reduced time to qualification completion, decreased tuition and opportunity costs, enhanced employment prospects and earning potential, and improved professional credibility and advancement opportunities.

Value analysis helps candidates make informed decisions about RPL investment while highlighting the significant returns typically achieved through successful recognition.

Institutional Sustainability balances accessibility with cost recovery requirements including full cost accounting for RPL program delivery, efficiency improvements through technology integration, economies of scale through program growth, and external funding pursuit for enhancement and accessibility initiatives.

Sustainable financing ensures long-term program viability while maintaining quality and accessibility commitments.

---

12. Implementation and Change Management

12.1 Implementation Strategy and Timeline

Phased Implementation Approach ensures systematic and sustainable introduction of enhanced RPL processes while minimizing disruption to current operations and stakeholders. Implementation phases are carefully planned with clear milestones, resource requirements, and success criteria.

Phase 1: Foundation and Preparation (Months 1-3) focuses on establishing essential infrastructure including policy communication and stakeholder briefing, staff training and development programs, technology platform setup and testing, and process documentation and resource development.

Foundation activities ensure that all stakeholders understand new requirements and have the capabilities needed for successful implementation.

Phase 2: Pilot Programs and Testing (Months 4-6) introduces new processes with selected programs and candidate groups to test procedures, identify implementation challenges, gather feedback from participants, and refine processes based on experience.

Pilot programs provide valuable learning opportunities and enable adjustments before full-scale implementation.

Phase 3: Full Implementation and Optimization (Months 7-12) extends new processes across all programs and services while maintaining monitoring and support systems including comprehensive service delivery across all academic areas, ongoing quality assurance and monitoring, continuous improvement based on feedback and data, and establishment of sustainable operational patterns.

12.2 Change Management and Stakeholder Engagement

Staff Development and Training ensures that all personnel involved in RPL processes have the knowledge and skills needed for effective implementation including comprehensive training programs for RPL advisors and assessors, orientation sessions for academic staff and administrators, ongoing professional development opportunities, and peer learning and collaboration networks.

Training programs address both technical skills and cultural changes needed to support enhanced RPL recognition and student success.

Student Communication and Support ensures that prospective and current students understand new RPL opportunities and requirements including information campaigns highlighting RPL benefits and opportunities, updated website and marketing materials, enhanced orientation and advisory services, and ongoing communication about process improvements and enhancements.

Communication strategies address diverse student populations and communication preferences while maintaining consistent key messages.

12.3 Monitoring and Evaluation Framework

Performance Indicators and Metrics provide systematic measurement of implementation success and ongoing effectiveness including candidate satisfaction and success rates, staff satisfaction and capability development, process efficiency and cost-effectiveness, and stakeholder feedback and engagement levels.

Regular monitoring enables proactive identification of issues and continuous improvement of implementation strategies.

Evaluation and Improvement Cycles ensure that implementation remains responsive to stakeholder needs and changing circumstances including quarterly review of implementation progress, annual comprehensive evaluation of program effectiveness, stakeholder feedback collection and analysis, and strategic planning for continued enhancement and development.

Evaluation findings inform ongoing improvement initiatives and strategic planning for RPL program evolution and enhancement.

13. Governance and Accountability

13.1 Governance Structure and Authority

RPL Governance Committee provides strategic oversight and policy direction for RPL programs including senior academic leadership representation, industry and community stakeholder participation, student representative involvement, and external expert input.

Committee responsibilities include policy development and review, strategic planning and resource allocation, quality assurance oversight, and stakeholder liaison and communication.

Operational Management Structure ensures effective day-to-day delivery of RPL services including RPL program director responsible for overall program coordination, senior RPL advisors providing specialist expertise and guidance, administrative support staff managing processes and communications, and academic assessors delivering evaluation services.

Clear authority and accountability structures ensure effective decision-making and service delivery while maintaining appropriate oversight and quality control.

13.2 Accountability and Reporting

Regular Reporting and Review provides transparency and accountability to institutional leadership and external stakeholders including quarterly operational reports on service delivery and outcomes, annual comprehensive program evaluation and review, stakeholder satisfaction surveys and feedback analysis, and public reporting on program achievements and impacts.

Reporting demonstrates institutional commitment to transparency and continuous improvement while providing evidence of program value and effectiveness.

External Accountability maintains alignment with regulatory requirements and sector expectations including compliance reporting to relevant regulatory bodies, participation in sector-wide quality assurance initiatives, benchmarking against national and international best practices, and contribution to policy development and sector leadership.

External accountability ensures that institutional RPL practices meet professional standards and contribute to broader educational quality and accessibility goals.

14. Policy Review and Continuous Improvement

14.1 Regular Review Schedule and Processes

Systematic Review Framework ensures that RPL policy remains current, effective, and responsive to stakeholder needs through scheduled review cycles including annual operational review of procedures and effectiveness, biennial comprehensive policy review incorporating stakeholder feedback, periodic external review by independent experts, and responsive review when significant changes in context or requirements occur.

Review processes include comprehensive stakeholder consultation, evidence-based evaluation of policy effectiveness, benchmarking against best practices and standards, and systematic consideration of improvement opportunities and recommendations.

Stakeholder Engagement in Review ensures that diverse perspectives inform policy development and enhancement including student feedback through surveys and focus groups, staff input through consultation sessions and workshops, employer and industry feedback through advisory committees and surveys, and external expert review through peer institutions and professional networks.

Engagement processes are designed to be inclusive and accessible while providing meaningful opportunities for stakeholder input and influence on policy development.

14.2 Continuous Improvement Culture

Innovation and Best Practice Integration ensures that RPL practices evolve with educational innovation and sector developments including research and development initiatives to test new approaches, adoption of proven practices from other institutions, integration of technological innovations and enhancements, and responsiveness to changing student needs and industry requirements.

Innovation efforts balance experimentation with stability to ensure continued service quality while pursuing enhancement opportunities.

Learning Organization Principles guide institutional approach to RPL development including systematic collection and analysis of performance data, reflection on successes and challenges, knowledge sharing and collaborative learning, and commitment to evidence-based improvement and enhancement.

Learning organization principles ensure that institutional RPL capability continues to develop and improve over time while maintaining focus on student success and stakeholder value.